## Red-Black Trees

CS130A Coakley

## Recall

AVL Trees have properties to enforce balance

- The heights of children can differ by at most 1
- Height of a tree = $1+\max (h e i g h t-l e f t, ~ h e i g h t-r i g h t) ~$
- Height of NULL is -1

AVL Trees store their height at each node

## Other Self-Balancing Trees

We want to keep O(log n) bounds
We are willing to be even more unbalanced than AVL
The maximum depth of a leaf node must still be $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$ to keep our bounds

We will limit our height to $2 \log (\mathrm{~N}+1)$
As an aside, these will have additional computational complexity benefits (sounds better than "theoretical benefits")

## Red-Black Tree Prelude

Your book provides an advanced (top-down) description in Chapter 12, but the textual description is a little lacking.

We will mimic Wikipedia's labelling.
Similar visualizer to AVL (slightly better):
https://www.cs.usfca.edu/~galles/visualization/RedBlack.ht ml

## Red-Black Trees

## Properties:

1. All nodes are red or black
2. The root is black
3. All leaves (NULL) are black
4. If a node is red, its children must be black
5. The path from a node to all of its leaves contains the same number of black nodes (this gives us a black-height of the tree and defines the black-depth of a node)

The maximum height is $2 \log (N+1)$

## Example



## The maximum height is $2 \log (n+1)$

bh(v) = black-height of $v$ (excludes $v$ even if black)
$h(v)=$ height of $v$
Lemma: A subtree rooted at node $v$ has at least $2^{\text {bh(v) }} 1$ nodes

Note: This is by induction on height.
Basis: $h(v)=0$ for NULL gives $2^{\text {bh(v) }}-1=2^{0}-1=1-1=0$
Inductive step: $v$ such that $h(v)=k$, has at least $2^{\text {bh }(v)}-1$ internal nodes implies that $v^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(v^{\prime}\right)=k+1$ has at least $\left.2^{\text {bh( }} \mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)-1$ internal nodes.

Since $v^{\prime}$ has $h\left(v^{\prime}\right)>0$ it is an internal node.
As such it has two children each of which have a black-height of either bh(v') or bh(v')-1 (depending on whether the child is red or black, respectively).

By the inductive hypothesis each child has at least $\left.2^{\text {bh( }} \mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)-1$ internal nodes, so v' has at least:

$$
\left(2^{\mathrm{bh}\left(v^{\prime}\right)-1}-1\right)+\left(2^{\mathrm{bh}\left(v^{\prime}\right)-1}-1\right)+1=2^{\mathrm{bh}\left(v^{\prime}\right)}-1
$$

internal nodes.

## Height Bounds Via Lemma

Property 4 (red children are black) guarantees us that at least half of the nodes on any path from the root to to a leaf are black.

The bh(root) of a tree of $n$ nodes is therefore at least h (root)/2-1. Using the lemma,
$n>=2^{h(r o o t) / 2}-1$
$\log (n+1)>=h($ root $) / 2$
$h($ root $)<=2 \log (n+1)$

## Operations

The red-black tree is still a binary search tree
Search is $O(\log n)$ based on height limit
Insertion and Deletion are special, and involve color changes and rotations.

## Insertion

We always insert a red node. It replaces a black NULL-leaf with itself and 2 black NULL-leaves.

Recall the properties:

1. All nodes are red or black
2. The root is black
3. All leaves (NULL) are black
4. If a node is red, its children must be black
5. The path from a node to all of its leaves contains the same number of black nodes (this gives us a black-height of the tree and defines the black-depth of a node)

## Some Labels

$N$ is the current node. It is the new node in the base case, but we have to recurse to fix the tree.
$P$ is the parent node
$S$ is the sibling
G is the grandparent
$U$ is the uncle - the parent's sibling, if it exists

## Enumerate the Cases

1. $N$ is the root node
2. $P$ is black
3. $P$ and $U$ are red
4. $N$ is left-right or right-left of $G, P$ is red, $U$ is black
5. $N$ is left-left or right-right of $G, P$ is red, $U$ is black

- This is similar to the special cases of the AVL tree, with an additional non-trivial case based on parent color.
- The black-height property will be preserved without needing to store black-height at each node.
- How often do case 4 and 5 occur on the non-recursive call?


## N is the Root Node

Change the color to black to satisfy property 2

## P is Black

Done

## P and U Are Red

Change $P$ and $U$ to black, Change $G$ to red, recurse on $G$
G must have been black, so bg(G) did not change.
If G's parent is red, this may cause additional changes.


## N is Left-Right of $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{P}$ is Red, U is Black

Left rotation on P. Converts case 4 to case 5.


## $N$ is Left-Left of $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{P}$ is Red, U is Black

Right rotation on $G$, switch colors of $P$ and $G$.


## Deletion

Swap with smallest element from right subtree, do not change color of node, delete the swapped node location.

The smallest element from the right subtree:

1. Satisfies the search tree criteria at the new location
2. Satisfied the red-black properties before the node was deleted

Now we are deleting a node with at most one child.
Note, if there were no right children, use the greatest child of the left subtree and swap all left/right in what follows.

## More Labels

$M$ is the node being deleted
C is the child (NULL is fine if there were no proper children)

## Cases (we will number the complex case)

M is red - Remove, done.
M is black, C is red - Replace M with C, Recolor C, Done
M is black, C is black (NULL is black) - All the complexity
Replace M with C , relabel $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ in the new position
$S$ is the sibling of $N$ (was the sibling of $M$ )
$S_{L}$ is Sibling's left child
$S_{R}$ is Sibling's right child

## Enumerate

1. $N$ is the new root
2. $S$ is red
3. $P, S$, and $S^{\prime}$ s children are black
4. $S$ and $S^{\prime} s$ children are black, $P$ is red
5. $S$ is black, $S_{L}$ is red, $S_{R}$ is black, $N$ is the left child of $P$
6. $S$ is black, $S_{R}$ is red, $N$ is the left child of $P$

## N is the New Root

Done

## $S$ is Red

Switch colors of $P$ and $S$, rotate left at $P$.
Doesn't fix (missing black node), just transformed to cases 4-6


## P, S, and S's Children are Black

Make S red, recurse on P (back to case 1)
N's side was one black short. P's subtree is fixed, but property 5 is broken unless $P$ was the root.


## S and S's Children are Black, P is Red

Switch colors of P and S , Done
Black-depth of N increased without impacting S's subtree


## $S$ is Black, $S_{L}$ is Red, $S_{R}$ is Black, $N$ is left child of $P$

Rotate right at $S$, switch colors of $S_{L}$ and $S$
This transforms it into a special form of case 6


## $S$ is Black, $S_{R}$ is Red, $N$ is the left child of $P$

Rotate left at $P$, switch colors of $S$ and $P$, make $S_{R}$ black N's black-depth incremented by one, other paths unchanged


## Recursion

Wikipedia has tail-recursive code for all of this.
Only specific cases recursed
The number of recursions in theory for a set of insertions/deletions is slightly better than for AVL trees (constant amortized update costs)

In practice, these stay quite balanced

